Perceptual Face Abilities of Face Examiners for Varying Tasks

Amy N. Yates*, Jacqueline Cavazos+, Ying Hu+, Geraldine Jeckeln+, Eilidh Noyes++, Carina A. Hahn*, Alice J. O'Toole+,

P. Jonathon Phillips*

* NIST, + University of Texas at Dallas, ++ University of Huddersfield





Outline



- Brief Backstory
- Current Study
- Across Races
- Face Memory
- Disguised Faces

A Brief Backstory

National Institute of Standards and Technology U.S. Department of Commerce



Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences NIST

PNAS		L I	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America					
Home	Articles		Front Matter	News	Podcasts		Authors	
NEW RESEARCH IN			Physical Sciences •			Social Sciences		
RESEARCH ARTICLE								

Check for updates

Face recognition accuracy of forensic examiners, superrecognizers, and face recognition algorithms

D. Jonathon Phillips, Amy N. Yates, Ying Hu, Carina A. Hahn, Eilidh Noyes, Kelsey Jackson, Jacqueline G. Cavazos, Géraldine Jeckeln, Rajeev Ranjan, Swami Sankaranarayanan, Jun-Cheng Chen, Carlos D. Castillo, Rama Chellappa, David White, and Alice J. O'Toole

PNAS June 12, 2018 115 (24) 6171-6176; first published May 29, 2018 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1721355115

General Rules



- Administered remotely
- Examiners could use their tools and methods
- 20 pairs of face images
- 3 months to complete comparisons

Example of Image Pairs



Same-identity pair





Different-identity pair





Comparison Across Groups





Comparison Across Groups





PNAS Conclusions



- Facial examiners are significantly better than the general population
- No statistical difference among examiners, reviewers, and superrecognizers.
- Best algorithm is competitive with best humans
- Fusing human judgements is effective
- Performance optimized by fusing one facial examiner and A2017b.

Current Perceptual Study



- Investigate extent of examiners' ability
 - Multiple tests investigating different areas
 - Perceptual tests (limited time, no tools or methods)
- Faces of different races
- Face memory
- Disguised faces
- Examiners: over web or on NIST laptop
- Students: University of Texas at Dallas

Black Box Road Map



- 1. Perceptual test with students
- 2. Perceptual test with face professionals (e.g., face examiners)^[1]
- 3. Black box test

^[1]D. White, P.J. Phillips, C.A. Hahn, M. Hill, and A.J. O'Toole, "Perceptual expertise in forensic facial image comparison," *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 282(1814), 2015.

DOI: <u>10.1098/rspb.2015.1292</u>

Black Box Road Map



- 1. Perceptual test with students
- 2. Perceptual test with face professionals (e.g., face examiners)
- 3. Black box test

Performance Across Races

National Institute of Standards and Technology U.S. Department of Commerce





The other race effect (ORE) is when it is easier to recognize faces of one's own race than it is to recognize faces of a different race.

It is important to know how well face examiners perform across different races.

Test based on imagery in Phillips *et al.* (2011)^[2], contains equal sets of Caucasian and East Asian faces.

^[2]P. J. Phillips, F. Jiang, A. Narvekar, J. Ayyad, and A. J. O'Toole, "An other-race effect for face recognition algorithms," *ACM Trans. Appl. Percept.*, vol. 8, Feb. 2011. DOI: <u>10.1145/1870076.1870082</u>

Example Image Pairs





Same Identity

- +2 : Sure they are the same
- +1 : Think they are the same
 - 0 : Do not know
- -1: Think they are not the same
- -2 : Sure they are not the same

Example Image Pairs





Different Identities

- +2 : Sure they are the same
- +1 : Think they are the same
 - 0 : Do not know
- -1: Think they are not the same
- -2 : Sure they are not the same

Cross-Race Test



- 80 pairs of face images
 - Caucasian faces
 - East Asian faces
- Up to 30 seconds to view each pair
- 14 Examiners (Caucasian ancestry)
- 48 Caucasian Students

Both Stimuli Sets





Caucasian Stimuli

 Examiners > Caucasian Students (p=0.00123**)

East Asian Stimuli

 Examiners = Caucasian Students (p=0.123)

Cambridge Face Memory Test (CFMT+)







Face examiners are trained in performing detailed, scientific facial comparisons.

It is important to know if face examiners' skills are specific to comparisons or if they possess superior ability in general face tasks.

The long form of the Cambridge Face Memory Test (CFMT+)^[3] measures the ability of participants face memory and includes items designed to measure high ability performers.

^[3]R. Russell, B. Duchaine, and K. Nakayama, "Super-recognizers: People with extraordinary face recognition ability," *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, vol. 16, pp. 252—257, 2009. DOI: <u>10.3758/PBR.16.2.252</u>

Memorize





Memorize





Memorize





Which face did you just see?





CFMT+



- 120 face trios
- 13 Examiners (not taken before)
- 48 Caucasian Students

Face Memory





 Examiners > Caucasian Students (p=0.0329*)

Disguised Faces







Two ways to disguise oneself:

- 1. Evasion: alter appearance to not look as oneself
- 2. Impersonation: alter appearance to look like a specific other person

Test based on imagery from Noyes and Jenkins (2019)^[4], containing images people disguised both ways.

^[4]E. Noyes and R. Jenkins, "Deliberate disguise in face identification," *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, vol. 25, pp. 280–290, 2019. DOI: <u>10.1037/xap0000213</u>

Example Image Pairs







Evasion (Same Identity)

+2 : Sure they are the same

+1: Think they are the same

0 : Do not know

- -1 : Think they are not the same
- -2 : Sure they are not the same

Example Image Pairs







Impersonation (Different Identities)

+2 : Sure they are the same

+1: Think they are the same

0 : Do not know

- -1 : Think they are not the same
- -2 : Sure they are not the same

Façade Test



- 72 pairs over 3 conditions
 - No disguise: each person in the pair presents as themselves
 - Evasion: in same-identity pairs, person tries not to look like themselves
 - Impersonation: in different-identity pairs, person tries to look like another person
- Up to 30 seconds to view each pair
- 14 Examiners
- 48 Caucasian Students

All Conditions





No Disguise Condition

 Examiners > Caucasian Students (p=0.00127**)

Evasion Condition

 Examiners = Caucasian Students (p=0.0652)

Impersonation Condition

 Examiners > Caucasian Students (p=0.00287**)

Conclusions



- Perceptual tests
 - Limited time
 - No tools or methods
 - Black box needed
- Cross-Race Comparisons
 - Caucasian stimuli: examiners better than Caucasian students
 - East Asian stimuli: examiners equal to Caucasian students
- Face Memory
 - Examiners better than Caucasian students
- Disguised Faces
 - No disguise: examiners better than Caucasian students
 - Evasion: examiners equal to Caucasian students
 - Impersonation: examiners better than Caucasian students

Conclusions



- Perceptual tests
 - Limited time
 - No tools or methods
 - Black box needed
- Cross-Race Comparisons
 - Caucasian stimuli: examiners better than Caucasian students
 - East Asian stimuli: examiners equal to Caucasian students
- Face Memory
 - Examiners better than Caucasian students
- Disguised Faces
 - No disguise: examiners better than Caucasian students
 - Evasion: examiners equal to Caucasian students
 - Impersonation: examiners better than Caucasian students

Conclusions



- Perceptual tests
 - Limited time
 - No tools or methods
 - Black box needed
- Cross-Race Comparisons
 - Caucasian stimuli: examiners better than Caucasian students
 - East Asian stimuli: examiners equal to Caucasian students
- Face Memory
 - Examiners better than Caucasian students
- Disguised Faces
 - No disguise: examiners better than Caucasian students
 - Evasion: examiners equal to Caucasian students
 - Impersonation: examiners better than Caucasian students
- Deeper understanding of examiners' abilities



National Institute of Standards and Technology U.S. Department of Commerce

